EDITOR'S NOTE

THE FOLLOWING PAGES WERE POOR HARD COPY AT THE TIME OF FILMING. IF AND WHEN A BETTER COPY CAN BE OBTAINED, A NEW FICHE WILL BE ISSUED.

92-802

NO._____

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUN 18 1992
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM 1992

JAMES O'LEARY

PETITIONER

VS.

THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO ET. AL.

THE CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO ET. AL.

RESPONDENTS

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JAMES O'LEARY

P. O. BOX 206

BROADRICK, CA.

95605

25 pp

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

THE PLAINTIFF ALEGES THE DEFENDANTS HAVE VIOLATED " BOTH " THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE AND THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION FIRST AMENDMENT. - 28 U.S.C.A. SECTION 1331

THE HOLY BIBLE, WEBSTER'S AND CASELL'S DICTIONARY DEFINES THE NAME " SACRAMENTO -SACRAMENT " AS ONE AND THE SAME, MEANING - (QUOTE) AS A SIGN OR SYMBOL OF THE GRACE OF " GOD," TO BE ORDAINED BY " JESUS CHRIST " IN " CERTAIN " RELIGIOUS RITES AND ACTS OF CERTAIN CHRISTAIN RELIGIONS. (NOT ALL RELIGIONS) (UNQUOTE)

THE DEFENDANTS BY USEING THE " HOLY SACRED NAME " SACRAMENTO - SACRAMENT ON THIER MUNICIPAL SEALS, IS SHOWING " PREFERENCE " FOR " CHRISTIANY " AND ALL OTHER RELIGIONS THAT USE SACRAMENTO - SACRAMENT IN THIER RELIGIOUS RITES AND BELIEFS. (UNCONSTITUTIONAL ?)

THE PLAINTIFF ALEGES THIS CASE INVOLES A FEDERAL QUESTION OF EXCEPTIONAL INPORTANCE TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THE PLAINTIFF'S HOLY SACRED RELIGIOUS BELIEFS.(ORDAINED BY JESUS) THE UNITED STATES DRISTRICT COURT ((EASTERN DRISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA) AND THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS (9 TH. CIR.) " OPINION " DRICTLY CONFLICKS WITH A EXISTING " OPINION " OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS ((7 TH.) AND SUBSTANTIALY AFECTS A RULE OF NATIONAL UNIFORMITY. QUOTE SECTARIAN IMAGERY HAS NO PLACE ON " MUNICIPAL SEALS. "
(HARRIS VS. CITY OF ZION AND CITY OF ROLLING MEADOWS, ILL.) 927 F. 2 D. 1401 7 TH. CIR. (1991)
THE DEFENDANTS AS A MUNICIPAL CORPORTTION CAN NEVER BE " ORDAINED " BY THE CATHOLIC ROMAN CHRISTAIN CHURCH OR ANY OTHER RELIGION THAT HOLDS " SACRAMENTO - SACRAMENT IN ITS BELIEFS.
(UNCONSTITUTIONAL ?)

GOVERMENT SHALL NEITHER ENGAGE IN NOR COMPEL ANY RELIGIOUS PRACTICES. (SACRAMENTO - SACRAMENT INFERES RELIGIOUS CHRISTAIN PREFERENCE ?)

FREE EXCISE - THE PLAINTIFF ALEGES THAT THE VERY " COURT ORDER " BY THE HONORABLE LAWRENCE K. KARLTON, (CHIEF JUDGE, U. S. DRISTRICT COURT, EASTERN DRISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA) ON MARCH, 10, 1989, WAS IN AND BY ITSELF A VIOLATION OF " FREE EXERCISE " AGAINST PLAINTIFF AND HIS HOLY SACRED RELIGION. SACRAMENTO - SACRAMENT ?

BY " GODS WILL " THEY ARE ONE AND THE SAME.

BY REQUIREING PLAINTIFF TO POST BOND OF $ 1450 to USE THE NAME (SACRAMENTO- SACRAMENT) IS " UNFAIR " AND GIVES PERFERENCE TO DEFENDANTS?

THE PLAINTIFF ALEGES THAT THE " NAME " OF THE DEFENDANTS, ON THE MUNICIPAL SEALS OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO AND THE CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO, INFERES A UNCONSTITUTIONAL RELIGIOUS SYMBOL.

THEY ARE TRYING TO ESTABLISH RELIGION BY GOVERMENT BY MISLEADING AND INFERENCE.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
1. QUESTION PERSENTED FOR REVIEW	1
2. LIST OF PARTIES	4
3. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	5
4. JURISDICTION	6
5. STATUTE INVOLED	7
6. STATMENT OF THE CASE	8
7. REASON FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI	12
8. CONCLUSION	13

APPENDIX

PARTIES INVOLVED

THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO -
EACH ENJOINING DEFENDANTS, EACH OF THEM AND THIER AGENTS, SERVANTS, EMPLOYEES, AND ALL PERSONS ACTING UNDER, OR INCONCERT WITH OR FOR THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO.
THE CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO -
EACH ENJOINING DEFENDANTS, EACH OF THEM, AND THIER AGENTS, SERVANTS, EMPLOYEES, AND ALL PERSONS ACTING UNDER, OR INCONCERT WITH OR FOR THE CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO.
HONORABLE LAWRENCE K. KARLTON (CHIEF JUDGE)
UNITED STATES DRISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DRISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

DEFENDANTS-

JAMES O'LEARY (PLAINTIFF)
DIOS LAIGLESIA DE LAITIES
P. O. BOX 206
BROADRICK, CA. 95605

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES PADGE

HARRIS VS. CITY OF ZION, 927 F. 2D 1401 7 TH. 1991) THE 7 TH. CIR. COURT OF APPEALS RULED - SECTARIAN IMAGERY HAS " NO " PLACE ON MUNICIPAL SEALS. (QUOTE)

HAL ROACH STUDIOUS, INC. VS. RICHARD FEINER & CO. (9 TH. CIR. 1990) 896 F. 2 D 1542, 1555 N. 19 THE COMPAINT IS CONSTRUED IN THE LIGHT MOST FAVORABLE TO THE PLAINTIFF.

LEMON VS. KUTZMAN (1971) 403 U. S. 602, 91 S. CT. 2105 (1) MUST HAVE A SECULAR PURPOSE, (SACRAMENTO -SACRAMENT IS SACRED HOLY RELIGION) (2) MUST NEITHER ADVANCE NOR INHIBIT RELIGION IN ITS PRINCIPAL OR PRIMARY EFFECT, (SACRAMENTO - SACRAMENTO INFERES CHRISTAINY AND " ORDAINED BY JESUS CHRIST. ") (UNCONSTITUTIONAL) (3) MUST NOT FROSTER AN EXCESSIVE ENTANGLEMENT WITH RELIGION. (UNLAWFULL USE OF " HOLY NAME " IS ENTANGLEMENT IN ITS SELF) (UNCONSTITUTIONAL)

JURISDICTION

FEDERAL QUESTION ?

ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION CLAUSE OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT OF THE CONSTITUTION BY DEFENDANTS.

FIRST AMENDMENT 28 U.S.C.A. SECTION 1331

FREE EXCISE CLAUSE OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT OF CON ITUTION. THE PLAINTIFF ALEGES THE COURT ORDER OF HONORABLE LAWRENCE K. KARLTON WAS BY AND IN ITSELF A VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFFS " FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION.

THE PLAINTIFF ALEGES THE USE OF THE HOLY SACRED NAME " SACRAMENTO _ SACRAMENT " (ONE AND THE SAME) BY DEFENDANTS IS MISSLEADING (SHOWING) PREFERENCE FOR CHRISTIANY AND TO BE " ORDAINED BY JESUS ") (UNCONSTITUTIONAL)

STATUTE INVOLED

THE PLAINTIFF ALEGES THIS CASE INVOLES A FEDERAL QUESTION OF EXCEPTIONAL IMPORTANCE TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND THE PLAINTIFFS HOLY SACRED RELIGIOUS BELIEFS.

(SACRAMENTO -SACRAMENT ORDAINED BY JESUS)

THE U. S. DRISTRICT COURT (EASTERN CAL.)

THE U. S. COURT OF APPEALS (9 TH. CIR.)

" OPINION " DIRECTLY CONFLICKS WITH AN EXISTING " OPINION " BY THE U. S. COURT OF APPEALS (7 TH. CIR.) AND SUBSTANTIALY AFECTS A RULE OF NATIONAL UNIFORMITY.

HARRIS VS. CITY OF ZION AND ROLLING MEADOWS - 927 F. 2 D 1401 7 TH. CIR. 91

THE 7 TH. CIRCUIT, COURT OF APPEALS RULED-

QUOTE - SECTARIAN IMAGERY HAS NO PLACE ON " MUNICIPAL SEALS." UNQUOTE

FIRST AMENDMENT 28 U.S.C.A. SECTION 1331

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE PLAINTIFF ALEGES THE DEFENDANTS COMMITED " CONSPIRACY " TO DEPRIVE PLAINTIFF OF EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS, OR THE GRANTING OF EQUAL PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES UNDER THE LAW, 14 TH. AMENDMENT SECTION 1

THERE IS A CONFLICK BETWEEN TWO FEDERAL COURTS OF APPEALS.

THE NINTH CIRCUIT DISAGREES WITH THE SEVENTH.

(QUOTE) SECTARIAN IMAGERY HAS NO PLACE ON " MUNICIPAL SEALS." (UNQUOTE)

HARRIS VS. CITY OF ZION AND ROLLING MEADOWS, ILL. 927 F. 2 D. 1401 7TH. CIR. 1991

The PLAINTIFF ALEGES THAT THE " NAME " SACRAMENTO - SACRAMENT ON THE SEALS OF THE CITY SACRAMENTO AND WEST SACRAMENTO INFERES A RELIGIOUS SYMBOL. ((CHRISTAIN SYMBOL AND " ORDAINED BY JESUS CHRIST ")

THIS CASE HAS MOVED THROUGH BOTH LOWER COURTS U. S. DRISTRICT COURT (91-925 0 and U. S. COURT OF APPEALS.(91-16288)

THERE HAS BEEN NO RESPECT SHOWN TOWARD THE PLAINTIFF OR HIS CIVIL RIGHTS. 1 ST. AND 14 TH.

DEFENDANT WEST SACRAMENTO NEVER ANSWERED,

REFUSED ANY " DISCOVERY." RULE 250 E. AND D
DEFENDANT CITY OF SACRAMENTO - REFUSED ANY
" DISCOVERY " (RULE 250 E. AND D.) THEN
UNLAWFULLY SIEZED THE " GOOD SHIP SEAMILL "
WITH THE DIOS LAIGLESIA DE LAITIES CHURCH
WITHIN. THEY UNLAWFULLY " BURNED " ARSON ?
THE SHIP (WORLD WAR 2 MINESWEEPER) AND
DISTROYED THE DIOS LAIGLESIA LAITIES CHURCH.
THEY BURNED THE "ALTER AND ALL THE RELIGIOUS
ARTIFACTS " OF THE DIOS LAIGLESIA DE LAITIES.

THEY THEN " COURT ORDERED " THE PLAINTIFF TO
DISTROY HIS CHURCH DIOS LAIGLESIA DE LAITIES
AND HOME LOCATED AT 2531 32SD. ST. NEXT TO THE
ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH AT 2533 32 SD, ST.
THERE WAS 5 SUBSTANDARD BUILDINGS, WITH 3 OF
THEN OWENED BY THE CATHOLIC CHURCH. 2 OF THE 3
EXTENDED ONTO THE DIOS LAIGLESIA DE LAITIES
CHURCH PROPERTY. (UNLAWFULLY)
THE CITY ARRESTED THE PLAINTIFF AND HIS 4 SONS.
THEY CHARGED THEM WITH " FELONEY," FOR NOT
" OBEYING THE COURT ORDER " AND NOT DISTROYING
THE DIOS LAIGLESIA DE LAITIES AND HOUSE.
THE CITY " CONDEMED " THE DIOS LAIGLESIA DE
LAITIES CHURCH AND BUILDINGS AND NOT THE ROMAN

CATHOLIC BUILDINGS. (UNCONSTITUTIONAL ?)
THEY HELD A " MOCK " TRIAL, AND WAS FORCED
(GOOD LAWER) TO DISMISS THE CHARGES AGAINST
THE SONS.
THEY THEN PUT THE PLAINTIFF (80 YRS. OLD)
IN " SOLITARY CONFINEMENT " IN THE SACRAMENTO
COUNTY JAIL BY BT FORCE AND DURESS.
THEY THEN " BULLDOZZED " DOWN THE DIOS LAIG-
LESIA DE LAITIES CHURCH AND HOUSE AND GAVE
" UNLAWFULL POSSESSION " TO THE CATHOLIC
CHURCH, WHO THEN FENCED IN IN UNLAWFULLY.
BE FORCE AND DURESS THEY HAVE MADE THE
PLAINTIFF SUFFER ANGER, SHAME, FRIGHT,
HUMILIATION, MENTAL ANGUISH, EMOTIONAL
DIRSTRESS, AND PHYSICAL DISTRESS.
IS ALL THIS IN RETALIATION ?
THE PLAINTIFF RETURNED FROM OVERSEAS TO FIND
THAT THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO WAS IN THE
PROCESS OF SELLING THE ENTIRE " WATERFRONT "
(EAST SIDE) TO THE FOREIGN INVESTORS AND
SHOWING NO RESPECT TOWARD ADMIRALTY OR
ADMIRALTIES. (NO MOORING FOR SHIPS)
THREATHEN TO BURN MY SHIP ?

WHEN THE PLAINTIFF " MOORED " HIS SHIP (GOOD SHIP SEAMILL) IN THE NAVIGABLE WATERS OF THE SACRAMENTO RIVER, HE DID NOT KNOW THAT THE RIVER WAS ABOUT TO BE SOLD " DOWN THE RIVER " TO THE " FOREIGN INVESTORS " BY THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO. HE DID KNOW THAT MONOLITHIC JAPAN INC. THROUGH THIER HOLDING COMPANYS (LIGHTHOUSE MARINA AND RIVERBEND DEVEOLPMENT) HAD ALREADY BOUGHT THE WEST SIDE OF THE RIVER AND HARBOR VIEW CORP. THROUGH RIVERVIEW CORP. (HOLDING CO.) OF SACRAMENTO, WAS TO BUY THE " WATERFRONT " ON THE SACRAMENTO SIDE.

MONOLITHIC " KEIRETSU " (JAPAN TOP HOLDING CO.) KNEW HOW TO " PLAY " ONE SIDE AGAINST THE OTHER. SO THEY PUT THIER FIRST $$$ BILLION DOLLARS ON THE WEST SIDE FOR " BAIT " TO TRAP THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO INTO SELLING THE " WATERFRONT."

THEY FOUND NO PROBLEM IN BUREAUC-RATS TO SELL THIER SOULS IN THE " MARKET PLACE. "

THEY WOULD GIVE MONOLITHIC JAPAN INC. THE WHOLE CITY OF SACRAMENTO FOR A PRICE. (UNCONSTITUT - IONAL)

REASON FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

(A)

THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS (9 TH. CIR.) " OPINION " DRICTLY CONFLICKS WITH A EXISTING " OPINION " OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS (7 TH. CIR.) AND SUBSTANTIALY AFECTS A RULE OF NATIONAL UNIFORMITY.

HARRIS VS. CITY OF ZION AND CITY OF ROLLING MEADOWS, ILL. 927 F..2 d. 1401 7TH. CIR.91 !XXX9(1991)

(C)

THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
FIRST AMENTMENT 28 U.S.C.A. SECTION 1331
the plaintiff aleges THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO AND THE CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO IS TRYING TO ESTABLISH " GOVERMENT " BY " NAME " AND ACTIONS.

THE UNITED STARES CONSTITUTION
VIOLATION OF FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE BY REQUIREING BOND ($ 1450) FOR EXPRESSION OF RELIGIOUS BLIEFS OF PLAINTIFF.

CONCLUSION

THE PETITIONER JAMES O'LEARY RESPECTFULLY PRAYS THAT A WRIT OF CERTIORARI ISSUE TO REVIEW THE JUDGEMENT AND OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS.(9 TH. CIR.)

God Bless All
James O'Leary

APPENDIX

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEA

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAMES O'LEARY, SR.,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

vs.

CITY OF SACRAMENTO, CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO, a Municipal Corporation,

Defendant-Appellee.

FILED

APR 23 1992

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

No. 91-16288

DC# ~~CV-91-952-WBS~~ 91-925
Eastern California
(Sacramento)

ORDER

BEFOR : FARRIS, O'SCANNLAIN AND TROTT JUDGES

ON MARCH 3, 1992, APPELANT WAS ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE WHY HIS APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURTS DISMISSAL OF HIS COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION. IN RESPONCE, APPELLANT HAS FILED A " PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTICRARI " WHICH REQUESTS THAT THIS COURT VACATE THE DISTRICT COURTS MARCH, 10,1989 ORDER WHICH DECLARED APPELLANT AND HIS FAMILY MEMBERS " VEXATIOUS LITIGANTS." THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTORARI " IS CONSTRUED AS A PETITION FOR " WRIT OF MANDAMUS. " SO CONSTRUED, THE PETITION IS DENIED. SEE- BAUMAN VS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT 557 F. 2d 650 (9 TH. CIR. 1977)

THE APPEAL IS DISMISSED FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION. UNITED STATES 704 F. 2d 1099 (9 TH, CIR. 1983)



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAMES O'LEARY SR.

PLAINTIFF - APPELLANT

VS.

CITY OF SACRAMENTO, ET. AL

CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO ET. AL.

DEFENDANTS - APPELLEES

BEFORE : FARRIS, O'SCANNLAIN AND TROTT, JUDGES

APPELLANTS PETITION FOR REHEARING OF THE APRIL 23, 1992 ORDER IS DENIED. APPELLANTS SUGGESTION FOR REHEARING EN BANC OF THE APRIL 23, 1992 ORDER IS DENIED. THE APPEAL IS NOW CLOSED. THE CLERK SHALL ACCEPT NO FURTHER FILINGS IN THIS APPEAL.

FILED

MAY 29 1992

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

No. 91-16288

DC# CV-91-952-WBS
Eastern California
(Sacramento)

ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES O'LEARY,

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO

DEFENDANTS

FILED

JUL 24 1991

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY: DEPUTY CLERK

BEFOR THIS COURT IS PLAINTIFFS COMPLAINT FILED JULY 15, 1991, ALLEGING THAT THE CITY SEALS OF THE CITIES OF SACRAMENTO AND WEST SACRAMENTO ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL. AFTER EXAMINING THE COMPLAINT, THE COURT FINDS THAT IT FALLS WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THIS COURTS MARCH 10, 1989, ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO POST A BOND ($ 1450) IN ONE THOUSAND, FOUR HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS BEFOR FILING ANY DOCUMENTS OR CASES CONCERNING A FIRST AMENDMENT CHALLENGE TO THE NAME " SACRAMENTO - SACRAMENT "

BECAUSE PLAINTIFF HAS FILED COMPLAINT WITHOUT POSTING THE REQUIRED BOND, THE COMPLAINT IS DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO REFILE THE COMPLAINT WITHIN 10 DAYS UPON GIVING SECURITY BY POSTING A BOND IN THE APPROPRIATE AMOUNT. IT IS THEREFOR ORDERED THE PLAINTIFFS COMPLAINT BE, AND THE SAME IS, HEREBY DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. PLAINTIFF MAY REFILE THE COMPLAINT WITHIN 10 DAYS ONLY UPON POSTING THE REQUORED BOND IN THE AMOUNT OF ONE THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS. ($ 1450) JULY 25/ 91

William B. Shubb

WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPENDIX

JAMES O'LEARY

P. O. BOX 206

BROADRICK, CA.

95605 PLAINTIFF

VS.

THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO ET. AL.

THE CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO ET. AL.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS (9 TH. CIR.)

CASE # 91-16288 D. C. # CV. -91-925

U. S. COURT OF APPEALS (9 TH. CIR.)

FILED 4/ 23/ 92 - petition denied -

APPEAL DISMISSED FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION.

U. S. COURT OF APPEALS (9 TH. CIR.)

FILED 5/29/92

PETITION FOR REHEARING DENIED, APPEAL CLOSED.

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS (9 TH. CIR.)

CASE # 91-16288

U.S. DRISTRICT COURT (EASTERN DRISTRICT)

FILED 7/24/91

order to dismiss

God Bless all

James O'Leary

UNITED STATES DRISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DRISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE #
CIVS 91- 9

JAMES O'LEARY

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

CITY OF SACRAMENTO,
A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION,

CITY OF WEST SACRAMENTO,
A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION.

" COMPLAINT "
FOR
UNCONSTITUTIO
OF CITY SEALS

Court seals the fate of one town's symbol

BY JEAN PONESSA
GOVERNING MAGAZINE

Religion can be a part of a town's history, community or even its name, but it needs to stay off the city seal.

That's what a federal appeals court ruled when it declared unconstitutional the seals of two northeastern Illinois towns.

"Sectarian religious imagery simply has no place on municipal seals," a 7th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals panel said.

In the case of Zion, Ill., a town of 19,000, the city seal includes a cross and a banner that reads "God Reigns." The seal was used by the religious community that founded the town in the 1860s.

Former Zion Mayor Adeline Geo-Karis, who also is a state senator, says the seal has been used for years without stifling religious diversity. Religion is part of the history of

• See Seals, Page A7

Seals

• From Page

many towns, will happen i pus Christi?"

Zion has reconsideratic Court of Appe

Richard G for residents associated wi ciety of Sep tains that thi only to munici

"A seal is government," man. "You ha a viewer woul sion of endor religion, or re ligion."

The town s ing Meadows unconstitution seal's four qu building with "This comm viewer that th Christianity, v ligions. It com Christians tha ers," says Mr.

But the cit cross does not

v.

CITY OF SAC
municipal c
CITY OF WES
municipal c

D

E

1991, allec

and West S

complaint,

court's Mar

of One Tho

filing any

challenge

filed the

AO82
(Rev. 4/90)

ORIGINAL

RECEIPT FOR PAYMENT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 134272

for the

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

at Sacto

RECEIVED FROM James O'Leary

Fund		ACCOUNT	AMOUNT
885XX	Deposit Funds	604700	14500 00
604700	Registry Funds		
	General and Special Funds		
308800	Immigration Fees		
085000	Attorney Admission Fees		
086900	Filing Fees	TOTAL	14500 00
322340	Sale of Publications		
322350	Copy Fees	Case Number or Other Reference	
322360	Miscellaneous Fees	CV 91-925 WBS	
143500	Interest		
322380	Recoveries of Court Costs		
322386	Restitution to U.S. Government		
121000	Conscience Fund		
129900	Gifts		
504100	Crime Victims Fund		
613300	Unclaimed Monies		
510000	Civil Filing Fee (½)		
510100	Registry Fee		

Checks and drafts are accepted subject to collection and full credit will only be given when the check or draft has been accepted by the financial institution on which it was drawn.

DATE	Cash	Check	M.O.	Credit
July 26 19 91	✓			

DEPUTY CLERK

AO82
(Rev. 4/90)

ORIGINAL

RECEIPT FOR PAYMENT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 134706

for the

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

at S.

RECEIVED FROM JAMES O'LEARY